xaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	ry by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief suppose	record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Netice of Allowshill.		
Part III.		•
See Continuation Sheet		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
All		
Claims discussed:		
Probable rejections over cattle guards and conveyor belts		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	cant's representative)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2004</u> Type of Interview:	Time: 2:30 pm Eastern	
(2) <u>Chuck Dougherty</u> .	(4)	
(1) <u>Elizabeth A. Shaw</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
	Elizabeth A. Shaw	3644
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	10/625,275	RIDDLE ET AL.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Language was discussed to further define the structure of the guards extending above the plane of the frame and all instances the "guards" have been renamed "foot pinching means". Claims 21-23 were to be restricted as a separate invention but have been changed to depend from claim 1.

See Examiner's Amendment.